

1 **REMARKS**

2 The Applicants appreciate the allowance of claims 1-4, 7-10, 13, 14, and 18, and the  
3 indication at paragraph 10 of the Final Office Action that claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 15, and 16 are  
4 directed to allowable subject matter. In view of the above amendments to the specification, the  
5 Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection of claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 15, and 16  
6 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and further request allowance of these claims.

7 The Advisory Action mailed November 14, 2005, included objections to two  
8 informalities in the disclosure. The amendment to the paragraph beginning at page 13, line 12 of  
9 the disclosure (item 5 above) is intended to obviate the first objection. The amendment at the  
10 final line of the paragraph beginning at page 16, line 11 of the disclosure (item 9 above) is  
11 intended to obviate the second objection.

12 The Advisory Action also indicated that the disclosure included inconsistencies in the  
13 naming of certain components. The Applicants believe the cited points of the disclosure do not  
14 represent inconsistencies. In each cited case, an element has been previously named in the  
15 disclosure and associated with a reference number in the drawing. Thereafter, the drawing  
16 reference number is used consistently. For example, the disclosure first associates a "first  
17 differential receiver" with reference numeral 302. Thereafter, shorthand designations such as  
18 "differential receiver 302" may be used when referring to the component shown at reference  
19 numeral 302. The Applicants respectfully submit that there is nothing inconsistent with this  
20 usage.

21 However, in an effort to place the present case in better form for appeal, the Applicants  
22 submit the above amendments to repeat precisely the same language for each component

1 associated with a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. For example, in item 1  
2 above, the paragraph beginning at page 9, line 5 of the disclosure is amended to refer to "second  
3 differential receiver 203" at each reference to the element shown in the drawings at reference  
4 numeral 203.

6 CONCLUSION

7 For all of the above reasons, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of claims  
8 5, 6, 11, 12, 15, and 16 and allowance of these claims along with the previously allowed claims,  
9 claims 1-4, 7-10, 13, 14, and 18. If the Examiner should feel that any issue remains as to the  
10 allowability of these claims, or that a conference might expedite allowance of the claims, he is  
11 asked to telephone the Applicants' attorney, Russell D. Culbertson, at the number listed below.

12 Respectfully submitted,

13 The Culbertson Group, P.C.

14  
15  
16  
17 Dated: 20 Dec 2005

18 By: 

19 Russell D. Culbertson, Reg. No. 32,124  
20 Trevor Lind, Reg. No. 54,785  
21 1114 Lost Creek Boulevard, Suite 420  
22 Austin, Texas 78746  
23 512-327-8932  
24 ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANTS

25 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

26 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark  
27 Office, (Fax No. 571-273-8300) on December 20, 2005.

28  
29  
30  
31 Russell D. Culbertson, Reg. No. 32,124 